

Q1

In the 21st century people are more aware than ever of ~~the~~ the current climate disasters. Texts can often unsettle an audience's current knowledge and widen their perspective. Texts such as 'SAVING NINGALOO again' by Rimmon in 2019 and 'The BUTTERFLIES' by Shaun Tan in 2018 do exactly ~~this~~ that. 'SAVING NINGALOO is a persuasive article and is multimodal which persuades reader share Wilson's perspective that Ningaloo must be protected. whilst 'The Butterflies' is an interpretive narrative and multimodal text that reflects on a city which is reverting back to its old mundane and corporate lives after the ~~scattered~~ 'scope of butterflies descended on their city. Both of these texts use language and visual choice in different ways to unsettle their audience. 'SAVING NINGALOO again' raises the truth about the Ningaloo reef's chance of survival, despite what most thought, ~~written~~ through persuasive structures, multimodal artwork, and language features. whilst 'The BUTTERFLIES' uses plot structure, multimodal artwork, repetition and similes to show how we need to stop overanalyzing and ~~searching~~ searching for "cause and effect" by approaching the true nature and ~~and~~ to find peace and ~~rathas~~.

Both multimodal texts utilize language features such as repetition to unsettle their audiences. Tan utilizes the repetition of the word "later" to demonstrate how we as a society are always ~~year~~ reflecting on either the past or worrying about the future, not enjoying our present moment ~~we're~~ immersed in nature. Tan uses the word "later" by saying "Later we would worry", "Later we would quickly snap back to our

"factory settings" and "labor... study photo and video evidence" "minimized brows". The repetition of "labor" further perpetuates the pointless and emotionally alienating impact of the over scientific and analytical mindset our society has adopted. This unsettles the audience as most people see "science and analysis" to be a virtue to be upheld and praised as ~~but~~ it is believed to further society. When shank through this text we can clearly see that the "study of photo and video evidence" only leads to further "worry". Likewise, Winton uses the repetition of the word "safe", "gave" and "safer" to enhance the idea that Ningaloo is safe in the beginning of the text but used later to encourage us to save it. This repetition is coupled with his use of persuasive structures. Winton also uses emotive language to such as "worry" emn, "magic", "shocked" and "surprised". He already assumes his audience has a similar perspective on his stance on Ningaloo reef and the environment as a whole, so he uses the emotive language "shocked" and "surprise" to express along side the audience those feelings to discover Ningaloo "isn't" as safe as we we would hope or expect, but it's actually in "danger" of oil-and-gas companies. He upsets the audience by shifting their understanding of their reality midway through the text.

Winton uses a persuasive structure to further his perspective and convince the audience to agree with his environmentally protective view. He uses ^{and act before it's too late} persuasive structures such as 'apparance vs reality' to

on "Saving Ningaloo Reef." Expand your answer on
enlighten readers on the reality of the protection of Ningaloo
reef and "shock" us to persuade us to action. ~~expand~~
He tends us to believe that Ningaloo was safer... safer example
served even" by integrating the political context of 2003
where Banop hailed the destruction of the Ningaloo
reef, and showing us how Australians banded together
to fight for him and stop the destruction. The
law books readers into the true reality of Ningaloo
reef it ~~wasn't~~ "isn't" as safe as we all perceive it to be,
almost alluding to the idea that Australians
can once again band together and stop the
destruction of Ningaloo from man-made industries and
repeat history. Unlike Winton, Tan manipulates
the overall plot structure of his narrative and
starts the text in media res, starting the story in
the middle of the climax, where traditionally the climax
~~goes~~ is in the middle. Winton also uses "comparison
and contrast" persuasive structures to juxtapose
the destructive tendency of man-made oil and
gas industries with the serene and peaceful
environment to further his ~~persuade~~ argument. He shows
how the "oil and gas industry are the biggest threat
to the reef's survival" and that they are "cutting through
dunes" and "killing the coral". Thisuxtaposed
with the idea that Ningaloo is a "place of respite" and
a "solitary, quiet beach". Unlike Winton, Tan manipulates
the overall plot structure of his narrative and starts the ~~text~~
~~in media res~~. Starting at the climax where
typical is situated in the middle. This forces the
audience to immediately question what is
presented to them and follow the other authors
perspective. The problem of the narrative is addressed

at the end of the song instead of the beginning. This is reflective of our current society, being so caught up in our corporate, ~~short-term~~ mundane and hecticives that we do not ever stop and ~~impose~~ pause and rest before we run ourselves to a breaking point and deal with the consequences too late.

What is this word? Can I read it?

Both texts use multimodal elements to further ~~then~~ their perspectives. In both we see a ship to represent the oil and gas industries, symbolised by a LNG ship and damage to the environment, represented by the ~~agriculture~~ life below. Pollution is represented by the smoke coming out of the ship, not only harming us but killing coral too. The composition of the artwork has the marine life below the ship in close proximity together. This shows the destruction of ~~habitats~~ habitats by industry which has lead to both loss of breeding and overworking of animals. Tan uses animals full of light and vibrant to a shore full of butterflies; this is ~~just~~ contrasted with the dark, gray, and muddy tones of the cityscape and the people. There is a wide and high perspective to show the inconsequential ~~small~~ size of the people, but also demonstrate the unity and collective nature of the people. They are all the same, homogenous, collected together to watch the butterflies. ~~they~~ Their lives are all routine and not much different from palmer, boeing and ireless. This ~~other~~ depersonalization of nature and the ~~sea~~ social and place not come from it explain their collective fascination with the butterflies.

In conclusion Tan and Wilson use manipulation
strategies / choice, use unipodal cameras and
use language features such as repetition to unsettle
their audience to rethinking how they live their
lives and protect the beautiful environment
around them, respectfully. Tan encouraging the
audience to 'focus more on the beauty within nature'
and the peace found from that instead of destroying
any joy nature can be found from an analytical
thinking. Wilson encourages us to protect an habitat
environment for the future of the "children".

Q1

Oftentimes humanity must be presented with the consequences of their actions in order to change their trajectory for a better future.[↑] Short novel of the climate fiction genre, The End We Start From, by Meghan Hunter in 2017 presents ~~the~~ the increasingly climate-change-aware citizens of the world with a shocking vision of their future if climate change is allowed to progress to the point of no return. This text can be viewed comparatively against Tim Winton's 2018 article, 'Saving Ningaloo Again', which achieves the purpose of highlighting to an Australian audience that their ~~false~~ sense of security in the state of the environment is fragile, and can be quickly taken advantage of. By use of ~~the~~ similar language technique of animal imagery and structural choice of fragmented syntax, and differing uses of diction and overarching text structure, ~~both~~ Hunter and Winton ~~achieve a~~ leave their readers with a sense of discomfort. This unsettling effect is created in The End We Start From through a vivid experience of the emotionally-tiring nature of climate displacement, and the realisation through 'Saving Ningaloo Again' that ~~this~~ society is on the path toward this^{wanted} reality if stronger action against industrialisation is not taken.

Super! :)

Both Meghan Hunter and Tim Winton make strong use of animal imagery in their respective texts, a language feature that creates an overarching sense of human connection to the natural world.

The End We Start From follows the journey of an unnamed narrator through her experience of climate displacement due to climate change. During this change, the narrator, "[sleeps] like a shark, swimming on through the night." By use of zoomorphism, the narrator is frequently likened to an image of ~~dangerous~~ animals, especially dangerous animals. Hunter through this, frightens the audience with the state of dehumanisation to an animalistic state that results from climate disaster-trauma, as another example would support this point e.g., R at the refugee camp seems like a "dead rat".

well as its ability to incite ferocity in individuals. Winton ^{similarly} makes reference to animal imagery, "The gentle, photogenic whale shark... Dugongs and turtles and endangered dolphins," in the North West coast of Western Australia. This imagery has a slightly different initial effect to that of The End We Start From, creating a desirable image of the natural environment and persuading the audience that they want to preserve this. The cumulative effect of repeated animal imagery in 'Saving Ningaloo Again' conclusively disheartens and upsets ~~its~~ audience with the idea that this beauty could all be lost, while Hunter's use shows us the animalistic state humans resort to in this presented world of environmental destruction and climate change.

'Saving Ningaloo Again' persuades its audience to feel unsettled by industrial environmental destruction through subjective diction, while Meghan Hunter influences ~~its~~ her audience with objective, detached diction that reflects the traumatic effects of climate change.^{on mental states} Winton describes oil and gas industry with subjective language, 'monstrous,' 'sinister,' 'dreadful,' and 'catastrophic'. By using this language with connotations of evil, the reader receives a sense

of seriousness in the corporate world's destruction of their natural environment; this is represented as a totally wrong and immoral industry and the audience is uncomfortable with its actions. Contrastingly, Hunter utilises detached, objective diction from the viewpoint of the narrator.

The unnamed narrator witnesses climate refugees by the side of the road, "Walking in groups... Some have children

balanced on their shoulder, some are limping" ~~She~~ She provides no interpretation of the situation other than literal, leaving the audience with ^{Reise effect: almost like a new report, fully} ~~with~~ the ^{absence of emotion} ~~emotions~~ to feel their own sympathy for those disadvantaged by climate disasters. This emotional detachment of the narrator in feeling no emotion ^{will we might} ~~for~~ hunting people and children is additionally reflective of the notion

that society lacks empathy for one another, which Hunter ^{reflects it that} ~~reflects~~ ^{to something} ~~not normal, lose of~~ ^{Empathy}

unsettle her audience with in suggesting that this could be heightened in times of climate disaster if preventative actions are not taken.

Similar fragmented syntax structure is utilized within The End We Start From and 'Saving Ningaloo Again' to solidify the upsetting idea that environmental destruction and climate change have the power to emotionally upheave individuals. Meghan Hunter's unnamed narrator ~~suffers~~ experiences the horrific loss of her husband's mother whom she was close to: "Panic. Crash. G. Panicked. Crushed." The immense emotional weight of this death caused by climate-displaced words is observed through this abrupt syntax to prevent cohesive thoughts, and be so traumatic that minimal words are used to release it from the narrator's mind. The audience in turning this ~~is not with~~ develops outrage at the lack of empathy in society once again referenced by Hunter, and deep unease in their realisation that ~~this emotional~~ they are "not immune to this trauma affecting them as well if climate change progresses. Winton likewise uses fragmented syntax in his opinion of the effects of coral reef destruction, describing, "Land clearing, scouring, dredging, dragging." The rhythm created by the broken sentence structure may be seen to reflect the destructive sounds and effects of persistent damage to our environment as Winton uses this description to persuade the reader to acknowledge its negative effects. Both uses of syntax therefore create the unsettling image of destruction, either to the audience's beloved natural world, or to their emotional state.

A difference in overarching ~~plot~~ text structure is observed in the two texts, the narrative structure of a circular plot against the persuasive structure of ~~so~~ appearance versus reality. Hunter's use of a circular structure involves the story beginning

Tim Winton's use of structure involves reflecting the audience's previous idea that their environment, Ningaloo Reef, is a pristine and biodiverse environment, then disproves this with evidence of its fragility. This proves as a shock to the audience and has the effect of greater upset in their being lied to by environment destroying corporations. Hunter instead uses a circular structure of the novels beginning and ending involving the narrator's family unit, while they are separated in the middle. This speaks to the cyclical and simultaneous nature of beginnings and endings, further unsettling the audience by implying the ongoing nature of climate change in their lives. ^{There is a break but life has changed than ever before} ^{such as they return to each other.} ^(although often broken but people are inevitably changed)

The End We Start From and 'Saving Ningaloo Again' though different in their text type, deeply unsettle their contemporary audiences with a vision of their future in a climate-change driven world. Through language & structural similarities & differences, their audience are further urged to take stronger action in preventing this future ~~that so deeply unsettles them~~ and avoiding this discomfort.

At times, chunky expression.

Question ①

Ningaloo Reef is a Western Australia holiday gem, sacred to locals and tourists. The beautiful beach is perfect for snorkelling, fishing, relaxing and simply getting away from life's crazy craziness. We all presume the beach is well protected, but little do we know that it is under serious threat due to the rise of natural oil and gas industries, as Tim Winton's "SAVING Ningaloo Again" depicts. ^{varies in sentence} However, little do we know that ~~the~~ these gas industries bring along positive effects too, as Andrew McConnell's "Natural Gas has power to turbocharge Australia's economy" highlights. These two texts use a range of language features and persuasive structures to support their arguments, and cause the audience to feel unsettled and alarmed as our values of a strong economy and thriving environment are questioned. Winton uses persuasive structures 'cause and effect' and 'appearance versus reality' as well as language techniques including repetition, visual imagery and ~~negative~~ ^{ominous} language to depict the negative impacts of the oil and gas industries' destructive effects on our beloved reef. This influences the audience's unsettlement; as the last thing we want is for our beaches, in which we take immense pride in, to be ruined. In contrast to Winton's beliefs, McConnell tries to cause the audience to feel confronted ^{towards} ~~at~~ to the fact that without the oil and gas companies, our economy would diminish. He, too, uses structural technique 'cause and effect', however appeals to values of hippocret, unlike Winton, to cause this unsettlement in the audience. Similar to Winton he also uses repetition and omission, but for different purposes. The conflicting texts cause our hearts and minds to be conflicted, as we are forced to ask ourselves what is more important, our economy or the environment. Good engagement with Q.

Both authors implement the language feature, repetition, however what sets Winton apart from McConnell is he also works

You are aiming to construct a complex argument here
looking at how repetition works with persuasive structures. Well done!
~~you need to work on expressing this complex relationship more clearly~~

Appearance vs. Reality with repetition. Winton repeats the word "safe" in the text, trying to highlight the audience's belief in a lie that the reef is protected. "Ningaloo is safe, saved" and "Ningaloo isn't as safe as you'd expect" use repetition to demonstrate the PERSUASIVE structure Appearance vs. Reality. Ningaloo appears to be "safe" so Australians automatically assume it is "saved," when in reality it is far from it. Winton also draws on Geoff "2003 WA premier Geoff Gallop's line in the sand," and how that "line was fading." The repetition of line also constructs Ningaloo to appear saved due to Gallop's claim, when in reality it isn't, as oil and gas companies emerge. This causes the audience to feel concerned for our reef, as ~~the~~ we don't realize the lie we fell into, believing our reef was protected. McEnnally does not use appearance vs reality, only language feature repetition, to appeal to the audience's value for a strong, thriving economy. He repeats the word "facts" as he believes the audience will support his views due to our value of evidence, proof and science. ~~facts~~ get in the way of a good story for some people" ^{also highlights his remembrance towards environmentalists, causing the readers to feel} confronted, who are we meant to believe? in this text, we trust him because he uses facts to suggest his ~~not~~ positive view on natural gas ^{be more specific here regarding the} overrides ~~not~~ their negative effects on the environment, causing readers to feel concerned by the fact that without these companies, would our economy collapse? Persuasive structure cause and effect is well in both texts, appealing to different Australian values, causing the unwanted feeling of the audience for both authors use persuasive structure ~~and~~ 'cause and effect' by appealing to contrasting values the audience holds. Winton appeals to our values of a thriving outdoor life, through the use of ~~something like~~ ^{partly} ~~using the~~ ^{visually} imagery. He mentions that if the oil companies ~~exist~~ emerge, the reef's "biodiversity will decline." This links to his previous points that Ningaloo is a "major resting and birthing place for humpback whales, beluga whales... rare species of dolphin... turtles..." So you can understand the 'cause' part of the structure.

by mentioning the fact that the reef will be destroyed, he appeals to our values for flora and fauna unsettling us because of our love for those sea animals. Without the reef, where will they go? By visually describing the beautiful life that lives there, the life we purposedly leave our own to go without and see, the readers are left feeling concerned for Ningaloo's fate. Similarly, McConville also uses cause and effect, however he implements this with appeal to his pocket. He aims to appeal to Australian values of a strong economy and how this is an effect of Natural gas projects. He uses inclusive language and specific verbs to demonstrate the positive effects of gas and oil industry. "We can turbocharge Australia's economy," "boost our economy... ramp up" all work to draw on to the fact that the economy depends on these companies, unsettling the audience as we question what Australia's state would be without the companies. Both authors also use omission to unsettle the audience, leaving us confused and uneasy.

Within their texts Winton and McConville employ omission, both withholding information from the readers to support their claims. Winton only mentions the negative impact of the industry^{on the environment}, and does not highlight the positive impact on our economy. Winton uses this to depict to the audience that the gas companies are ~~only~~ ^{only negative and} destructive, to try and unsettle us that our reef is on the brink of collapse as the companies edge closer to the gulf. Visual imagery "we can see the rigs light up the bay at night" causes us to feel unsettled, as it is meant to be stars lighting up the bay, not rigs. By withholding their positive effects we are left feeling uneasy as we don't know what to believe? McConville, ^{because he has only given us one side to the story,} however, also uses omission but in this case fails to highlight the negative effects on our environment. He uses short sharp sentences and inclusive language to ~~depict~~ depict the positive impact on our economy. This causes us to ^{to our economy?} feel unsettled because without the rigs, what would happen? We are also

formed to ask ourselves who can we really trust?

By reading these two texts together,

Readers are left unsettled, feeling on the fence about the oil gas industry. Is our economic benefit worth our environment's suffering? Through Milenville's use of cause and effect structure, inclusive language, repetition, and verbs he causes us to believe that yes, maybe it is worth it. However, Winter's use of semi similar but semi different ^{omission} _{structural and language} techniques, including cause and effect, appearance vs reality, repetition, visual imagery and ~~emotional~~ emotion, we are left feeling concerned for our risks fate if these industries are allowed to rise. Either way we are left confronted: what should suffer, our economy or environment? We value both, and both texts highlight these opposing values, causing our ambivalence.

Can you respond personally? Which argument was most effective for you?

Q 4

(a) (S) (2)

In the world we live in today, we can see a significant rise in the amount of climate change related issues. While, our society today consistently attempts to address these issues, there is still much uncertainty as to how our future generations will cope in response to the decisions we make now. Thus we resort to texts to provide us with some predictable insight into how our future generations will handle these issues. Both the climate fiction narrative Shrinking, Sinking Land (2018) written by Kelly Cavley and the narrative How Close to Savage the Soul (2015) written by John Atechson manipulate the generic conventions of a post-climatic disaster setting and characters that represent a shift in intergenerational responsibilities to influence the audience's response initially with a belief in hope. However, through the manipulation of the text's plot development the final response from the audience in relation of hope is evidently different. In Shrinking, Sinking Land the audience is encouraged to respond with the optimistic idea of hope and progress ~~in~~ change from the future generation and survival through progressive change. However, in How Close to Savage the Soul the audience is encouraged to respond with the idea that all hope is lost in our future generations for survival in response to such climate-related disasters.

In Shrinking, Sinking Land, Cavley utilises the generic convention of a post-climatic disaster setting through the imagery. Cavley manipulates the generic convention of the genre

In Shrinking, Sinking Land, through the manipulation of the generic convention of setting, through imagery the setting the protagonist is described as an "uninhabitable" apartment complex in the city of "Manchester" where so much societal disruption has occurred and "riots & looting" that our protagonist

; Flea had to "watch her back", this implies the overall setting. Flea finds herself in unsafe for someone of her characteristics. As well as this, the setting of the ~~opp~~ apartment complex is said to have a "roof that has caved in" and a massive "sinkhole that has opened up in their living room", that has resulted in "flood water that has risen to her knee", as well as patterns of extreme weather conditions such as "typhoons", "cyclones" and "hurricane winds" that are so strong they have the ability to propel a "tree... miles away from the nearest park into their window. As seen through the manipulation of the setting, the audience is able to understand the daily challenges & struggles the protagonist must overcome in order to survive and hence the audience begins to develop the idea that survival in ~~a very extreme~~ ^{further} the future generation is possible. As well as this, Cawley manipulates the generic convention of characterisation to ~~the~~ adhere to the ~~the~~ generic idea of a swap in intergenerational responsibilities. Through the use of ~~symbolism~~ symbolism, the of the protagonists name Flea; we can associate her as a character with a literal, quick, fast moving flea insect that is used to adapting to their environment for survival. However, in contrast to the narratives secondary character Shell; Flea's mother, previously named Stelly, through the name change to 'shell' we can associate the ~~mother~~ with this with a change in the her role, how she can no longer care for her child, she is now ~~hollow and~~ dependent on her child Flea for survival and how she is metaphorically hollow; just like a literal shell. Through this symbolism ~~we~~ the audience can associate the character of Flea; representative of the younger future generation, with hope for survival, while the character of Shell; symbolic for the older generation with no hope at all for the progression in society & survival.

Good to
odd things

This shows the swap in intergenerational responsibilities as the typical mother - daughter relationship role is reversed.

Good integration of quotes into paragraph. 3

In comparison to How Close to Savage the Soul, the author manipulates the generic conventions of a post-climatic disaster setting and characters to highlight a swap in intergenerational responsibilities in a similar way to Shrinking Sinking Land to elicit a contrasting response from the audience. In the text ^{the} ~~text~~ ^{was set} post-climatic disaster setting on a beach; a place typically associated with relaxation & ~~the~~ ^{the} "forms of life", is "now an acid crypt" of "dead oceans" that smell "fetid & coppery"; in a "ghost town" that was inhabited by gangs that were "groups of adolescents with no hope" that made the town a "literal land of the flies". As can be seen through the use of imagery and the allusion to 'Lord of the Flies' a text based on death and anarchy, the audience now associates the setting with a lack of potential for any life to survive in its presence. ~~This~~ ^{As well as} this through the use of dialogue to manipulate the generic conventions of character, the protagonist; the grandfather, representative of the older generation is implied to have no hope of ~~survival~~ survival as "tis time" to fix the past "mistakes" is over, he ~~explains how~~ and he is "sorry". However, in contrast to Will, the grandson; representative of the younger future generation, through all his travails he still had the ability to "smile back" at his grandfather. He somehow "still had hope" & still "had time" to survive. Clearly through the combination of these generic conventions manipulated in a similar way to Shrinking Sinking Land, the audience is ~~better~~ encouraged to respond by believing in the idea that the ~~young, future~~ generation still had hope initially in the possibility for survival, while in the older generation there was no hope at all for survival; this is a similar response encouraged in Shrinking Sinking Land. Rather, the older ^{generations have done nothing & the young} generations are left to adapt and change ^{survive.}

This shows a shift in intergenerational responsibilities to ^{survive.}

However, while both Shrinking Sinking Land & How Close to Savage the Soul manipulate the generic conventions of setting and character in a similar way. Both ~~do~~ manipulate the generic convention of plot in a ~~more~~ significantly contrasting way to influence a response in relation of hope from the audience. In Shrinking Sinking Land the conflict of focus in the plot is between Flea & the environmental disaster of the "sinkhole that had opened up" and "swallowed" her mother. In response to the loss of Stell; an important inter-generational character, Flea chooses to "brace herself" and fight against the extreme weather disaster head on.

This resolution in this text influences the audience to respond with the idea of optimism in relation to the hope the future generation holds for survival in a post-climatic world. However in contrast, in How Close to Savage the Soul, in the resolution Will faces a conflict between the choice to carry on without his grandfather or he "waits for the gang" hoping to "join them", a group that is symbolic for a loss of hope. This plot development influences the audience to believe that in such disastrous circumstances all hope is lost, especially in the future generation.

As can be seen, in both ~~the~~ Shrinking sinking land, and How Close to Savage the Soul, both generic conventions of setting and intergenerational characters elicit a similar response of hope initially from the audience. However, as the plot of each cli-fi narrative is ~~alter~~ manipulated, they both offer different resolutions and outcomes for the character. This is representative of the ideas of hope from future generations. This brings us to the question of which is going to be the reality for our future generations in response to the climate-related disasters we cause now?

Question 2

plan

Bp 1 - concrete visual imagery - non place
- juxtaposes nature - urban isolation & detachment,

Bp 2 - auditory imagery
noise vs quiet of nature - tickertape

Bp 3 - anaphoria.

Setting:

- non place
- urban isolation &
detachment,

In literature, writers often reflect the failures of our own world through surreal visions of imagined, yet familiar places. Shaun Tan's 2018 short story, 'Butterflies' as part of the collection Tales from the Inner City, follows to this statement, lamenting the loss of stillness and quietude in our urban existence. The narrative begins as follows: the arrival of transient butterflies into a modern, urbanized city, where their basic beauty is met with awe and admiration by the city's inhabitants. Their sudden presence initiates a moment of collective, unified pause, only for the chaos and busyness of urban life to resume unchanged. While the narrative structure is simplistic, the ideas and purpose of the text are constructed through vivid visual imagery, and metaphors and similes and anaphoria are complex and multifaceted.

inviting the audience to contemplate
their own ability to find moments of pause and reflect
on the inexplicable yet beautiful inexplicability of nature that often goes unseen in our self-absorption in the endless noise and frantic nature of modern, urban life.

Throughout 'Butterflies', Shawn Tan uses vivid imagery to illustrate the distinction between reality and imagination within the text; between our industrial, ~~and anthropocentric~~ ^{artificial} environment

meticulously constructed environment and the natural world.

Concrete Imagery, such as, "nothing could flush out so many out of cars, apartments, subways, restaurants, hotels, hospice schools, hospitals, parliaments and offices." construct works to

create an image of a generic, anonymous, interchangeable iconography yet familiar city to our own. The modern city's minimalism description other than its appeal to the common iconography creates a non-place, a space that lacks identity and feel although it is inhabited by a faceless, nameless mass that are disconnected from their environment. built-environment. This stirs feelings

③

of urban to alienation, where its contrast to the bustling ~~out~~ of the natural world exemplifies the author's own Stoic content on the and the unification that a gorgeous natural phenomenon can cause exemplifies one of many failures of human aspiration in the eyes of the author; to connect to one another, and the environment. This is further supported by the line "We were standing so still, shoulder to shoulder, stalled as traffic on bridges." that utilises a simile to commotate the urban business of modern day existence, contrasting an event of frustration and caused with a wondrous event of nature, exposing how ~~not~~ a connection to nature can ~~some~~ create ~~pose~~ a me and desirable stillness.

Interestingly, Tan also draws parallels between humanity and the butterflies, characterising both as not only two distinct ~~get~~ collectives of individuals that comprise a society, but also intricately woven into the same ecosystems, even in the city. This is achieved by cumulation, where the scale of the people pausing to see the butterflies grows

(2)

as the Swarm continues to become seemingly countless, "the butterflies arrived at lunchtime. Not billions, billions or even trillions, but a number beyond counting, beyond even the concept of counting." This almost hyperbolic expression of the sheer size of the "technicolour clouds" of butterflies exaggerates the intensity of the natural marvel, ~~as~~ all the while mating parallels to society, characterising it as "a collective sharing one conscience," "By people on the Street, I mean everyone, literally everyone." The repetition of 'everyone' reinforces how ~~as~~ ~~was~~ individuals have become unified ~~as~~ ~~and~~ through their collective shared experience of observing this natural phenomenon occurring and finding connection to each other ~~through~~ ^{weightless} nature and, "~~waiting~~ waiting for the blessing of tiny insects," the value found in the beauty of the butterflies, in that they are compared to blooming life, "like spring blossoms of every imaginable shape and colour," ~~#~~ comments on the is what ~~is~~ harmonises a society "for the briefest of moments," ~~as~~ until the overthinking and noise resumes.

(5)

Audi

Auditory Imagery is used to contrast the unproductive noise of overthinking, and constant analysis and worrying of society with the soundlessness and "o" of nature, demonstrating how it demonstrates how the often unseen beauty of nature can quiet us, and should ^{is} ~~can~~ be valuable in its often unexplainable nature. ~~Words~~ ^{it} urban

✓ the metaphor, "the chatter in our heads fell silent, The endless ticker tape of voice - over narrative..." Companies the constant, unproductive chatter of modern analyses, thoughts and noise to the sound of a printer producing an ~~destructively~~ ^{long} strand of endlessly impossible ~~impossibly~~ ^{or} strands of ticker tape to illustrate the absurdity ~~and~~ of it.

whether to

Q3

~~whether to~~ comply with the contemporary lifestyle of cruel environmental degradation, or ~~to~~ take a step back and reflect on the beauty of nature, is a matter of controversy. Tim Winton, author of the persuasive essay Saving Ningaloo Again, responds to this controversy from the perspective of an appreciator of nature. He adopts a distinctive voice characterised by cautionary, confronting, and earnest tones, constructed through lexical choice, varied syntax including sentence lengths, and vivid, contrasting imagery. His voice is, in turn, shaped by his perspective of upbringings along Perth's natural beaches and his nature-related hobbies, including surfing the waves, ~~fishing by the sea~~ that forms his perspective.

solid introduction

Winton incorporates purposeful lexical choices, especially verbs, which ~~ref~~ serves to reflect his confronting tone of voice. This, in turn, presents his ~~specific~~ perspective of nature. In the centre of the text, Winton depicts a case study through ^{verb} verbs which signify its "cumulative and ongoing" disastrous consequences. Describing the concrete launchway as "cutting through the dunes" and "Scouring the sea floor" emphasises the abrasive forces of artificial corporations, and their potential

strikingly

of creating harmful damage to the once 'lovely, quiet beach'. In addition, the verb choice of 'dragged' across the 'once untouched beach' highlights the contrast between the previously unharmed ~~and~~ ^{deep} nature and the now, imposed consequences. Through the ^{heavily} ~~carefully~~ selected verbs, Winton's confronting and accusatory tone of voice is presented. This in turn, reflects his perspective as a ^{who is} nature appreciator ^{motives} opposed to the ~~ventures~~ ^{of} corporations seeking profit. His perspective is influenced through his life spent alongside the beauty of Australia's natural beaches and the ~~nature~~ which nature, which is shaped by nature, a variety of his identity.

In addition, Winton includes the language feature of vivid, descriptive imagery to bring a light on syntax, or sentence structures, which is especially evident in his short, concise, and straightforward sentences. The key ideas expressed through these ^{short and} concise sentences reflect his cautionary voice. For instance, "It ^{was} unthinkable last year. It is unconscionable this year. It is still dead wrong in 2020" is an example of concise syntax which in a straight-forward manner, Winton's presents a cautionary voice against the immoral consequences brought forth by corporational activities. He draws attention to his cautionary ^{voice} through stating ~~the~~ ^{his} opinion ~~in~~ ^{with} a brief and strong tone.

This
wants
clear
image of
men's
ability to
destroy for
profit.

Agnostic, syntax used ~~to~~ when Winton lists how "recreational fishing will die, ... businesses and accommodations will ~~be~~ collapse," provides his economical concerns for the local industries and small businesses of Western Australia.

This reflects Winton's perspective of preserving and encouraging the functioning of local Australians' way of living, which he feels inspired by through his experiences living in Perth for many years and by his friends and family ^{who are} used to the traditionally natural lifestyle. It also suggests Winton's larger ~~objectives of preserving~~ concerns which is ^{the} economy of _{Western Australia}.

Winton incorporates the contrast of imagery, a language feature, to shed light on the dangers of corporate activities on nature. This reflects his earnest and cautionary tone of voice. In the beginning, when he paints a vividly 'peaceful' picture of 'picturesque' scenery, this is achieved through his inclusion of 'photogenic whale sharks' portrayed as 'gentle' towards others. However, towards the middle of the essay, Winton poses ^{vivid} imagery of 'sinister' and 'monstrous flames' that seem to be 'rising endlessly'. This indicates Winton's cautionary and confronting voice towards the ~~good~~ dangers which have already begun to take place. The sharp contrast ~~of~~ achieved through vivid imagery also presents Winton's perspective as

~~a~~ knowledgeable person who has witnessed and perceived the potential horrific dangers that many Australians wouldn't want to believe in. This further establishes Winton's familiarity with his habitat and the actual, underlying changes which others may not be able to perceive or identify easily.

Finally, Winton utilises the ~~so~~ structure of appearance versus reality to establish ~~an~~ ^{both} ~~acknowledges~~ a knowledgeable and confronting tone of voice. He initially mentions how Ningaloo "seems to be safe" as stones 'trumpet the news that it's saved'. However,

This is portrayed to be the outer or appearance. However, Winton closely follows the assumption by immediately stating that this isn't the case. In a ~~so~~ revealing and cautionary voice, Winton discloses how 'shocked' Australians will be to learn that about the activities of corporations and how '^{harmful} surprised' ^{dangerous situation} they will become. This ~~so~~, as Winton states in ^{his} revelation is the truth regarding the circumstance of Ningaloo. This revealing tone/voice further contributes to Winton's establishment as a credible and knowledgeable source and his informed perspective ~~achieved~~ gained through experiences and observations. And he seems to have 'inside' knowledge.

As an appreciator of nature, Winton sincerely expresses his viewpoint on the dangers of corporate activity, encouraging Australians to put a stop to its tragic outcomes. In order to successfully achieve this, Winton utilises a warnful, cautionary, and earnest tone of voice, coupled with his knowledgeable and ~~appreciative~~ perspective and his viewpoint driven by an appreciation of nature.

We also trust Winton as he is a much awarded & recognized literary Author who often speaks on issues of the environment.

(4) in a time when the possible effects of anthropogenic climate change is ~~the~~ quickly becoming the greatest concern of the current generation, authors and writers may make use of ~~factual~~ imaginative texts in order to explore the dangerous path humanity is now treading in regards to ~~create absolute~~ catastrophic climate events. The novel, The End We Start From, was written by Megan Hunter in 2017 as a part of the climate fiction genre. The narrative follows the journey of a woman through new motherhood in the midst of climate disasters, societal upheaval and family separation. The short story, 'Shrinking Sinking Land'^(SSL) was written by author Kell Cowley in 2018, describes a young girl's struggles to save her mother from a sinkhole caused by ~~the~~ massive climate events such as flooding. Both texts were written in the contemporary period where the dangers and impacts ~~of~~ ^{of climate} change were coming to the forefront of public discussion. Both the texts FEWSF and 'SSL' both manipulate generic conventions of the narrative and climate fiction genres such as setting, narrative point of view and plot, in order to create a response of awareness and maybe even dread of the possible impacts of climate change.

in the text, 'SSL', Cowley adheres to the generic convention of setting in climate fiction texts. Most texts, including 'SSL', in the climate fiction genre are set in the near future and put a focus on the impacts of a large scale climate disaster caused by anthropogenic climate change. The short story is set after large "freak weather conditions" and "superstorms" caused flooding in ~~isla~~ England. The protagonist, ~~reverently~~, and her family were forced out of "poor drowned Liverpool" to Manchester while they wait as "Little England shrinks" and "the tide creeps in everyday". The text also covers "the spate of riots and looting that took place" due to scarcity of resources ~~and~~, as well as a planned "Global

"Mandatory hibernation". These elements of the setting are common to most climate-fiction texts, and the detail in the description of setting was designed to shock readers and to highlight the scale of such disasters. Similarly, TWNSF also adheres to some generic conventions of setting in climate fiction, as the world of the text is rocked by "unprecedented floods" making cities ~~uninhabitable~~ causing mass displacement of people inland. However, TWNSF manipulates the generic conventions of setting by through the use of stylistic detail to omit key ~~details~~ details in the description of setting. In the text, setting is always described in fragmented sentences such as, "An unprecedented flood, London, uninhabitable" and "Endgame, civilisation, catastrophe, humanitarian"; all which give no indication of the scale of the disaster taking place. Not only does this serve the purpose of focussing reader's attention on the state of the family in the text, but it also takes away the personal agency of the characters making them be at the mercy of their environment. This loss of agency may involve a response of dread in readers, as they ~~may~~ observe the consequences of such disaster.

Good

~~adheres~~

Additionally, SSL also ~~adheres~~ to the generic conventions of point of view ^{in narrative as well as through} ~~throughout the novel~~ of character names. The short narrative was written in third person limited, giving some insight to characters' thought processes and intentions. This had the effect of slightly distancing the audience off from the events of the text. Cowley also wrote the text in a way where characters were addressed using pet names such as "Flea" for Felicity, "Shelly" for Shelly, and "Wes", presumably for Wesley, although this is not specifically mentioned. This use of nicknames did have a slight effect of endearing the characters to the audience. At the same time, it may have had an opposing effect of making the plot and events too specific in order for the reader to resonate with the characters. Unlike 'SSL', TWNSF uses first person point of view as well as addressing

characters only through single-letter initials such as "Z", "R", "N", "G" and "O". ~~and several characters~~ This creative manipulation of the generic convention of point of view in narrative has a slightly paradoxical effect. On one hand, the use of an unnamed narrator and single letter names creates a sense of intimacy with each character. The names are a sign of closeness and endearment that would only be used with friends, ~~and the~~ and the unnamed narrator allows the audience to be immersed in her thoughts and emotions allowing them to process the raw visceral reactions to such unthinkable disasters. TWSF uses point of view in order to personalise the experience of being in such a situation where climate disasters destroyed one's previous life, allowing them to gauge the consequences of their current actions. In contrast, SSL's use of the generic narrative convention of ~~point of view~~^{narrative} in order to show the macroscale impacts of such cataclysmic climate events and involve ~~does~~ ~~does~~ into the audience.

Furthermore, in SSL, Cowley uses the generic convention of plot in order to produce an ^{of destruction} response of fear ~~as~~ ⁱⁿ the audience. The narrative follows a fairly linear plot with exposition describing how the Wheeler family "was forced out of their flat in Liverpool" and migrated to Manchester to their late "Nanna Wheeler's" retirement home.^{Flea's} The conflict is centred around the "sinkhole struggle" to rescue ^{her} mother, Shelly, from a sinkhole that opened up in their living room "due to excessive flooding and superstorms." All other secondary conflicts within relationships between Wes, Flea and Shell are all centred around the main conflict caused by the ~~disaster~~^{sinkhole}. ~~verses~~ In comparison, Hunter deviated and manipulated the generic narrative convention of plot through the use of a parallel plot structure. Throughout the text, Hunter makes use of historic creation myths and fairytales by using them as an insight, ^{mirroring} following the main plot of the A myth.

(4)

describing how "at first there was sky and there was sea" until a rock fell from the sky containing "a slime from which worlds grew." This mirrored "the moment of birth (womming)" for the narrator. Similarly, a myth detailing how "waters rose and rose so that they could no longer see each other in the torrent" was paired with the plot event of the death of "G" as she was "panicked" and "crushed". The ^{use of} creation myth ^{paired with} was used for new birth as well as the myth of death and drowning linked up with the loss, tragic loss of a character. Using such myths and legends speaks to the audience about the universality and the cross-cultural nature of climate change and disasters.

Both climate fiction texts of 'SSL' and TWSF makes use of generic narrative and climate fiction conventions in order to make the audience aware of the possible consequences of anthropogenic climate change. While 'SSL' makes use of adherence to most generic conventions of climate fiction and narratives, TWSF manipulates and deviates from these conventions in order to create a more personalised, impactful account of the consequences of our current ignorance and destruction of environment.

Q3

the narrative allowing us to gain a broader perspective of the reality of motherhood while also giving a connection and appreciation with her character.

This is another aspect of narrative writing that works to construct the voice of a character. The narrators tone throughout the text often comes across as quite honest and frank. The narrator doesn't shy away from sharing ~~yourself~~ ^{any} ~~and this effect~~ ^{How 50?} ~~private~~ details ^{about} ~~in sentence!~~ they are her reality, her normality as a new mother. The following extract from the text, 'dilate... bloody and stretching... i am barely intact... my pulp is an undercurrent... i have cut myself from my breasts; all come across as extremely raw and direct. initially as readers, this use of tone and voice that is unstructured, can come across as extremely uncomfortable to read. It goes against the generic conventions and style we often expect. However this use of tone is used to further construct the reality of motherhood that is often ~~silenced~~ ^{This was a good sentence!! :)} ~~silenced~~ ^{discomfort} convey the ~~mumness~~ and clutter that comes with life as a mother, causing our perspective as readers to grow ~~wildly~~.

Eventually, as we continue to ~~gather~~ read, this feeling of ~~uncomfortability~~ turns to great appreciation. The honest tone and matter-of-fact diction used by the narrator further communicates the vulnerable, helpless stark mothers often find themselves feeling after birth. The role of being a mother is a difficult one and can often ~~make~~ ^{narrator} very uncomfortable, which is what the tone of this author and her choice of fiction, aims to create - another example of the author. The narrators tone is often also loving, admiring and caring. As a new mother living within a time of such disaster and change, she finds hope, perseverance and calamity in her new born son, Z. 'Z is the only thing holding me down to the earth' Z acts as her anchor point and individual of certainty, to continue on. Without Z, the narrators hope would have too, floated away with the flood. 'I pat Z's bottom and smile at him... he has given me purpose'. This tone of admiration is carried throughout the whole novel, despite the changing surrounding circumstances of the narrator, providing insight and perspective on the all consuming world of motherhood. This displays the immense love and connection that grows between mother and child after birth. Motherhood is the narrators new identity, her only motive to carry on.

A strong focus on language here was effective in discussing the relationship between voice & perspective. Great work!

(2)

The stylistic details found within this novel, further construct the narrative voice, communicating what is important to her. However the omission of this text also work to portray the lack of care of other characters, further affecting our perspective. The majority of this novel focus, is firmly fixed on the growth, development and observations of the narrative character, Z. This construct the values and attitudes of the mother, communicating how motherhood is now the most important aspect of her world. The world outside of motherhood had all but disappeared. When talking about the state of his surrounding world and circumstances, she uses a distressed tone and views with it's expression of void. 'unprecedented floods. London. Uninhabitible.' This extract from the text is just one example of the omission of the outside world. Another example is when sentence fragments are used to recall words the narrator hears off the narrator radio. 'Endgame. civilization. catastrophe.' This communicates an sense of detachment and how the narrator has incomplete knowledge of what is happening around her. This is because she is not only physically distant from the spectacle of the flood, but also mentally distant due to her education and immersion in mothering. While the narrator involves a lot of information about Z, throughout her pages of thoughts and statements, she doesn't omit a lot of information about her husband R. Due to not understanding a lot about his character and vice, we become curious and suspicious on his motives, affecting our perspective on him. When we learn that R leaves his family; 'It was a sunny day when I left.' We react extremely orally. Due to having little external context on this character voice and motives we react in a judgmental way. It is a societal expectation to care for your newborn and wife after birth.

Yay - also a great paragraph! ☺

A statement by Benedict Cumberbatch caught my eye on the back of this novel.
'A stunning tale... moving and frighteningly real.' - Ooh. I would have liked to see this conclusion. A great idea!